Sample Logical Framework for a Child Labor Education Initiative Project

Narrative Summary	Objectively Verifiable Indicators	Means of Verification	Assumptions
Goal (<i>Development Objective</i>). Contribute to the elimination of the worst forms of child labor internationally	Number of children prevented or withdrawn from exploitive labor and provided education or training opportunities	Child labor data collection activities conducted by national and local government agencies, ILO, and other international and national non- governmental organizations	
Purpose (Immediate Objective). Children withdrawn or prevented from three worst forms of child labor and enrolled in the formal school system or non-formal school/skills training in Country X.	 Enrollment: Number of target children enrolled in education programs as a result of DOL-funded Child Labor Education Initiative (EI) projects: Agriculture – 800 (200/year) [Northern and Western Province]. Stone crushing at quarry mines – 1,000 (250/year) [Southern Province and Southwest Townships]. Child prostitution or otherwise earning a living on the street – 240 (60/year) [Eastern Province and capital city]. Retention: Percent of children retained in educational programs as a result of DOL-funded EI projects. 80% of children are retained in project programs in the first three years of the program. Completion: Percent of children completing educational programs as a result of DOL-funded EI projects. 85% complete 6 months at project non-formal schools/project skills training. 75% of children entering formal school complete the EI formal school program 	 Project records/database of children withdrawn and educated. Project check sheet (registration record) and registration records of the formal schools where transition children will be transferred. 	• Convention 182 will be passed by Parliament and implementing legislation will be adopted and enforced, e.g., penalties on businesses that employ children.

Narrative Summary	Objectively Verifiable Indicators	Means of Verification	Assumptions
Outputs. 1. Awareness raised. 1.1 Parents, teachers, and community members increasingly aware of the importance of education and the negative effects of child labor. 1.2 Children's work hours reduced.	1.1 Change in knowledge and awareness among: a. teachers and headmasters b. parents c. other community members. 1.2 Number of hours worked by children reduced by at least 50% (function of minimum age of work) or eliminated (for worst forms of child labor and children under legal working age).	1.1 Periodic surveys of parents, teachers, headmasters and other community members. 1.2 Results of questionnaire on hours of work used when withdrawing children and every year thereafter.	 Family income levels permit child's attendance in school. Traditional practices and beliefs do not hamper efforts to reduce child labor. Free education policy does not adversely affect the availability of spaces in schools.
2. Transitional and formal education systems strengthened.2.1 Targeted non-formal education (NFE)	2.1 80% of NFE schools fully achieve all quality objectives:	2.1	 Access to schools not prevented by civil
schools strengthened in quality.	2.1 30% of 141 E schools fully achieve all quality objectives.	2.1	unrest in country.
a. Basic education skills attained.	a. 80% of children demonstrate mastery of curriculum, literacy, numeracy, life skills, and/or vocational skills.	a. Pre-enrollment assessment (tests) results compared to assessments made at end of NFE program.	 Favorable and competitive conditions for retaining teachers in project schools are
b. Performance by children from project- supported NFE schools on standard formal school entry tests improved.	b. At least 75% of the children achieve score of 65% on national standardized school entry tests before proceeding to formal schools or vocational training.	b. Test records.	achieved
c. Teacher retention in NFEs increased.	c. 80% NFE teacher retention achieved.	c. Project records.	
d. Classroom practices improved.	d. 80% of teachers in NFE schools use improved classroom practices, (e.g., pupil participation, use of appropriate curriculum and teaching method, textbook to pupil ratio of 1:3, and notebooks and pencils for each child.)	d. Classroom observation instrument.	
e. School infrastructure improved.	e. 80% of project non-formal schools meet physical appearance and function standards.	e. School inspector assessment form adopted from Ministry of Education.	

Narrative Summary	Objectively Verifiable Indicators	Means of Verification	Assumptions
2. Transitional and formal education systems strengthened (continued).			
2.2 Barriers to the success of children removed from or at risk of WFCL in formal school system reduced.	 a. Frequency with which students identify particular barriers to schooling at beginning and end of project. b. Number and percentage of EI assisted formal schools for which PTAs and other actors define and act to reduce school specific barriers to enrollment and persistence in school 	a. Intake and annual questionnaire.b. PTA records and school surveys.	
3. National institutions and policies strengthened.			
3.1 National government support for NFE and formal programs for working children increased.	3.1 Resources for formal and non-formal education programs targeting working children or children at risk of working increase by at least 30%.	3.1 Budget records.	
3.2 National government programs to encourage increased retention, and transition by working children from NFE to formal/vocational schools developed.	3.2 Number of pilot programs/projects initiated by government to test ideas that reflect policy enabling statements and proposals.	3.2 Program/project documents and interviews with implementing staff.	
3.3 Sustainable systems for direct delivery of education and related services developed for working and at risk children.	3.3. Number of organizations providing required and timely services to target children at cost efficient level.	3.3 a. Organizational client and financial recordsb. Sample surveys of beneficiaries	

Narrative Summary	Objectively Verifiable Indicators	Means of Verification	Assumptions
Activities 1. Awareness raised. 1.1 a. Flyers, pamphlets, posters in local languages for awareness raising among the parents, teachers, and community members and stakeholders prepared and distributed.	1.1 a. Flyers 3,000 Pamphlets 8,500 Posters 2,750 T-shirts 700	1.1 a. Periodic count of awareness raising materials seen in strategic places, i.e. markets, bus stations, schools, churches, clinics /health centers.	Community/policy maker apathy and resistance is not a significant impediment.
Awareness raised (continued). b. Drama performed (poems, music, dance) in communities.	b. Semi annual performance in each targeted community. Once a year in each Province.	b. Project records, video.	
c. Group discussions and meetings held.	c. Quarterly meetings & discussions	c. Minutes of meetings.	
d. Radio forum organized.	d. Semi-annual radio fora	d. Taped radio fora	
1.2 Awareness/Hours/worked questionnaire developed and tested.	1.2. Final Questionnaire.	1.2 Analysis of questionnaire data.	
2. Transitional and Formal Education Systems Strengthened.			
2.1 <u>NFE.</u>	2.1	2.1	
a Relevant learning materials procured.	a. 90% of targeted text book requirement for each subject and 100 blackboards, 200 desks, 50 chairs, 20 tables, 100 maps	a. Project expense & distribution records/inventory.	
b. School buildings identified & rented.	b. 30 schools.	b. Physical inspection.	
c. Walls painted	c. 90% of schools painted inside & outside.	c. Physical inspection.	
d. Competitive remuneration for teachers provided	d. Market rate salary.	d. Salary records.	
e. Teachers trained in improved methods.	e. 3 training courses/ teacher.	e. Training records/reports.	
f. Database on children developed.	f. Children's records available and easily accessed.	f. Database.	

Narrative Summary	Objectively Verifiable Indicators	Means of Verification	Assumptions
g. School supplies provided (uniforms, shoes, books, pencils, and other)	g. Children provided with basic supplies on a sliding income scale basis.	g. Project records.	
2.2 <u>Formal.</u>a. Assess quality of existing NFE	a. Two-month consultancy and analysis of programs.	a. Consultant's report.	
programs.			
2. Transitional and Formal Education Systems Strengthened (continued).			
b. Develop learning materials that link NFE to formal	b. 5 modules.	b. Document review.	
c. Organize for a to discuss performance and links between NFE and formal education.	c. One event per year.	c. Proceedings.	
d. Teacher and school director training programs developed	d. One training per year in each school.	d. Training records/reports.	
e. Develop scholarship program to expand formal access for most needy children.	e. Number of scholarships given (At least 50/year).	e. Scholarship records.	
f. Children and families linked to social service programs.	f. Number of new families referred to and using social services.	f. Project and social service agency records.	
g. PTAs trained to provide greater support to children in formal schools.	g. 30 PTAs trained.	g. Training records/reports.	
3. National Institutions and Policies Strengthened.			
Workshops and events for policy makers and service providers held.	One event per year for policy makers and three trainings per year for service providers.	a. Event materials/proceedings.	
b. Research and documentation of best practices conducted.	b. Two research reports and one best practices manual.	b. Document review.	

Narrative Summary	Objectively Verifiable Indicators	Means of Verification	Assumptions
c. Pilot program design developed with government partners.	c. Pilot program design document.	c. Document review/interviews.	
d. National organizational capacity to identify services required by children assessed.	d. Institutional capacity assessment report	d. Document review	
e. Training modules to increase organizational capacity to identify children's needed services developed.	e. Training manuals	e. Document review	